

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,804	03/26/2004	Hiroshi Kanno	50024-030	7163
MCDERMOT:	7590 10/03/2007 Γ, WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER		
600 13th Street, N.W.			YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE	
Washington, DC 20005-3096		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/809,804	KANNO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marie R. Yamnitzky	1774	

	Marie R. Yamnitzky	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliand time periods: 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one see with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, wh	ichover in leter . In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7-16 and 18-27.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None.</u>							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a North date of the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a !).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 05 Mar	2007					
13. Other:							

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Proposed amendment results in some claims having combinations of limitations not found in any of the finally rejected claims. Further consideration is required at least with respect to those claims. Modification of the prior art rejections may be necessary to address the limitations of those claims upon entry of the proposed amendment.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Proposed amendment will not be entered for reasons noted above. Accordingly, claims stand rejected for reasons noted above. With respect to applicant's arguments regarding the effects that the proposed independent claims provide, it is not clear from applicant's arguments how the limitations recited in the proposed independent claims patentably distinguish over the prior art disclosure.

MARIE YAMNITZKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marie R. Yamitsky

1774